

Determinants

Definition

Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$.

- 1) For any $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ we define the **cofactor matrix** of the entry of A in row i and column j to be the matrix $\tilde{A}_{ij} \in M_{(n-1) \times (n-1)}(F)$ obtained from A by deleting row i and column j .
- 2) The **determinant** of A , denoted $\det(A)$, is a **scalar** in F defined recursively as follows:
 - if $n=1$, so that $A = (A_{11})$, we define $\det(A) = A_{11}$.
 - for $n \geq 2$, we define

$$\det(A) = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{i+j} A_{ij} \cdot \det(\tilde{A}_{ij}) \quad \text{for any } 1 \leq i \leq n \quad (\text{this formula gives the same value for any } i! \text{ See Theorem 4.4}).$$

- 3) **Equivalently**, we have

$$\det(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+j} A_{ij} \det(\tilde{A}_{ij}) \quad \text{for any } 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Example. Let's consider the case $n=2$.

Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(F)$ be given.

According to the definition, we can evaluate its determinant along any row i .

Let's take $i=1$.

Then the cofactor matrices are $\tilde{A}_{1,1} = (A_{22})$ and $\tilde{A}_{1,2} = (A_{21})$.

So $\det(\tilde{A}_{1,1}) = A_{22}$, $\det(\tilde{A}_{1,2}) = A_{21}$ and

$$\det(A) = \sum_{j=1}^2 (-1)^{1+j} A_{1j} \cdot \det(\tilde{A}_{1,j}) = A_{11} \cdot A_{22} - A_{12} A_{21}. \quad \text{- the familiar formula.}$$

Example.

Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & -3 \\ -3 & -5 & 2 \\ -4 & 4 & -6 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{3 \times 3}(\mathbb{R})$.

Again, let's calculate $\det(A)$ using cofactors along the 1st row. We obtain:

$$\det(A) = (-1)^{1+1} A_{11} \det(\tilde{A}_{1,1}) + (-1)^{1+2} A_{12} \det(\tilde{A}_{1,2}) + (-1)^{1+3} A_{13} \det(\tilde{A}_{1,3}) =$$

$$= (-1)^3 \cdot 1 \cdot \det \begin{pmatrix} -5 & 2 \\ 4 & -6 \end{pmatrix} + (-1)^3 \cdot 3 \cdot \det \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 2 \\ -4 & -6 \end{pmatrix} + (-1)^4 \cdot (-3) \cdot \det \begin{pmatrix} -3 & -5 \\ -4 & 4 \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$= 1 \cdot (-5 \cdot (-6) - 2 \cdot 4) - 3(-3 \cdot (-6) - 2 \cdot (-4)) - 3(-3 \cdot 4 - (-5) \cdot (-4)) =$$

$$= 1 \cdot 22 - 3 \cdot 26 - 3 \cdot (-32) = 40.$$

Properties of the determinant (See Sections 4.2-4.4 in the text book for the proofs)

Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$. If B is a matrix obtained from A by

- 1) switching two rows (or two columns), then

$$\det(B) = -\det(A).$$

- 2) multiplying a row (or a column) of A by a scalar $c \in F$, then

$$\det(B) = c \cdot \det(A).$$

- 3) adding a multiple of row i to row j (or a multiple of column i to column j), then

$$\det(B) = \det(A).$$

These properties are helpful for computing determinants.

We also have the following properties:

- 4) If $B \in M_{n \times n}(F)$, then $\det(AB) = \det(A) \cdot \det(B)$,
- 5) A is invertible if and only if $\det(A) \neq 0$. Furthermore, $\det(A^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\det(A)}$.
- 6) If $I_n \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ is the identity matrix, then $\det(I_n) = 1$.
- 7) $\det(A) = \det(A^t)$.

The operations on the rows of a matrix described in 1), 2) and 3) above are called **elementary row operations**.

Fact. Using these operations, we can transform any square matrix into an **upper triangular matrix**. That is, a matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & \dots & A_{1n} \\ & A_{22} & \dots & A_{2n} \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & A_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \quad - \text{ all entries below the diagonal are 0.}$$

Fact. If $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ is upper triangular, then $\det(A) = A_{11} \cdot A_{22} \cdot \dots \cdot A_{nn}$.

These two facts simplify calculating the determinants.

Example.

$$\text{Let } B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 3 \\ -2 & -3 & -5 \\ 4 & -4 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Applying elementary row operations, we have

$$B \xrightarrow{(1)} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & -3 & -5 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 4 & -4 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{(2)} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & -3 & -5 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & -10 & -6 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{(3)} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & -3 & -5 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 24 \end{pmatrix}.$$

\uparrow exchanging rows 1 and 2 \uparrow adding $2 \times (\text{row } 1)$ to row 3 \uparrow adding $10 \times (\text{row } 2)$ to row 3

As (2) doesn't change the determinant, we have

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} -2 & -3 & -5 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 4 & -4 & 4 \end{pmatrix} = -2 \cdot 1 \cdot (24) = -48, \text{ and as (1) only changes the sign of } \det, \text{ we have } \det(B) = 48.$$

Eigenvalues and eigenvectors.

Definition. A lin. operator T on a fin. dim. v.s. V is called **diagonalizable** if there is an ordered basis β for V such that $[T]_{\beta}$ is a diagonal matrix. That is,

$$[T]_{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & & 0 \\ & A_{22} & \\ 0 & & A_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for some } A_{11}, \dots, A_{nn} \in F.$$

2) A matrix $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ is **diagonalizable** if A is **similar** to a diagonal matrix.

Recall: two matrices $A, B \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ are **similar** if there is an invertible matrix $Q \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ such that $B = Q^{-1}AQ$.

Theorem. Let $T: V \rightarrow V$ be a lin. operator, $\dim(V) < \infty$ and β, γ ordered bases for V . Then $\det([T]_{\beta}) = \det([T]_{\gamma})$.

Proof.

There exists an invertible matrix Q s.t. $[T]_{\gamma} = Q^{-1} [T]_{\beta} Q$ (namely, the change of coordinates matrix $[I_V]_{\gamma}^{\beta}$ converting γ -coordinates to β -coordinates).

Then, using the basic properties of \det , we have:

$$\det([T]_{\gamma}) = \det(Q^{-1} [T]_{\beta} Q) = \det(Q^{-1}) \cdot \det([T]_{\beta}) \cdot \det(Q) = (\det Q)^{-1} \cdot \det Q \cdot \det([T]_{\beta}) = \det([T]_{\beta}).$$

Definition. For a lin. operator T , we define its **determinant**, $\det T$, as follows: choose **any** ordered basis β for V and take $\det T = \det([T]_{\beta})$. (by the previous theorem, the choice of β doesn't matter).

Proposition

a) T is bijective $\Leftrightarrow \det T \neq 0$.

b) T is bijective $\Rightarrow \det(T^{-1}) = (\det T)^{-1}$.

c) If $U: V \rightarrow V$ is another lin. operator on V , then $\det(TU) = \det T \cdot \det U$.

Proof

Exercise, follows from the analogous properties of the matrix determinant.

Theorem. Let $T: V \rightarrow V$ be a lin. operator, $\dim(V) < \infty$, β an ordered basis for V . Then: T is diagonalizable $\Leftrightarrow [T]_{\beta}$ is a diagonalizable matrix.

Proof.

Let $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$.

\Rightarrow Assume that T is diagonalizable. This means that there is an ordered basis γ for V such that $D = [T]_{\gamma}$ is a diagonal matrix. Let $[I_V]_{\gamma}^{\beta}$ be the change of coordinates matrix. Then $[T]_{\gamma} = Q^{-1} [T]_{\beta} Q$, so $[T]_{\gamma}$ and $[T]_{\beta}$ are similar, so $[T]_{\beta}$ is diagonalizable. \Leftarrow Exercise.

Corollary. $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ is diagonalizable $\Leftrightarrow L_A$ is diagonalizable.

Problem. When is A/T diagonalizable?

Theorem. T is diagonalizable \Leftrightarrow there is an ordered basis $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ for V and scalars $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in F$ such that $T(v_j) = \lambda_j v_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Proof.

If $D = [T]_{\beta}$ is a diagonal matrix, then for each vector $v_j \in \beta$ we have

$$T(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n D_{ij} v_i = D_{jj} v_j = \lambda_j v_j, \text{ where } \lambda_j = D_{jj}.$$

Conversely, if β is an ord. basis for V s.t. $T(v_j) = \lambda_j v_j$, then

$$[T]_{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

This argument motivates the following definitions.

Definition.

1) A **non-zero** vector $v \in V$ is an **eigenvector** of T if $T(v) = \lambda v$ for some $\lambda \in F$.

We call λ the **eigenvalue** of T corresponding to the eigenvector v .

2) Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$. A non-zero $v \in F^n$ is an **eigenvector** of A if

$$Av = \lambda v \text{ for some } \lambda \in F.$$

And λ is the **eigenvalue** of A corresponding to the eigenvector v .

3) The elements in a basis β as in the last theorem are eigenvectors, and the λ_i 's are the respective eigenvalues.

Theorem 5.2. A scalar $\lambda \in F$ is an eigenvalue of $T \Leftrightarrow \det(T - \lambda I_V) = 0$
Proof. We have
 $\lambda \in F$ is an eigenvalue of $T \Leftrightarrow T(v) = \lambda v$ for some $v \neq 0$ in $V \Leftrightarrow \overbrace{(T - \lambda I_V)}^{\text{lin. operator on } V}(v) = 0$ for some $v \neq 0$ in $V \Leftrightarrow N(T - \lambda I_V) \neq \{0\} \stackrel{\text{Thm 2.4, 2.5}}{\Leftrightarrow} T - \lambda I_V$ is not bijective $\Leftrightarrow \det(T - \lambda I_V) = 0$.
↑
properties of det.

Corollary. Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$. Then $\lambda \in F$ is an eigenvalue of $A \Leftrightarrow \det(A - \lambda I_n) = 0$.

Example. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$.
 Then $\det(A - \lambda I_2) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1-\lambda & 1 \\ 4 & 1-\lambda \end{pmatrix} = (1-\lambda)^2 - 4 = (\lambda-3)(\lambda+1)$.
 Hence by the corollary, the eigenvalues of A are the solutions to $(\lambda-3)(\lambda+1) = 0$ - which are 3, -1.

Definition 1) The polynomial $f(t) = \det(A - t I_n)$ in the variable t is called the **characteristic polynomial** of A .
 2) Given a lin. operator $T: V \rightarrow V$, $\dim(V) < \infty$, and β an ordered basis for V , we define the **characteristic polynomial** of T to be the char. polynomial of $A = [T]_\beta$:
 $f(t) = \det(A - t I)$.

Note. Similar matrices have the same char. polynomial, so $f(t)$ is well defined.

Properties of char. polynomial.

- Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ be given, and let $f(t)$ be its char. polynomial.
- 1) $f(t)$ is a polynomial of **degree n** with leading coefficient $(-1)^n$:
 $f(t) = (-1)^n t^n + c_{n-1} t^{n-1} + \dots + c_0$ for some $c_0, \dots, c_{n-1} \in F$.
 - 2) A scalar $\lambda \in F$ is an eigenvalue of $A \Leftrightarrow f(\lambda) = 0$.
 - 3) A has at most n distinct eigenvalues (as $f(t)$ has at most n roots).
 - 4) If $\lambda \in F$ is an eigenvalue of A , then a vector $x \in F^n$ is an eigenvector of A corresp. to $\lambda \Leftrightarrow x \neq 0$ and $x \in N(L_A - \lambda I_{F^n})$.

Example. Let's consider $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ again, and let's find it

- 1) The eigenvalues of A are $\lambda_1 = 3$ and $\lambda_2 = -1$.
- 2) Let $B_1 = A - \lambda_1 I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 4 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$.
 Then $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is an eigenvector of A corresponding to λ_1 , - by (4) above.
 $\Leftrightarrow x \neq 0$ and $x \in N(L_{B_1}) \Leftrightarrow x \neq 0$ and $\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 4 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -2x_1 + x_2 \\ 4x_1 - 2x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The set of all solutions to this system of lin. equations is

$$\left\{ t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} : t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Hence $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is an eigenvector corresp. to $\lambda_1 = 3 \Leftrightarrow x = t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ for some $t \neq 0$.

- 3) Let $B_2 = A - \lambda_2 I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 4 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$. Hence:
 $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is an e. vec. of A corresp. to $\lambda_2 \Leftrightarrow x \neq 0$ and $x \in N(L_{B_2}) \Leftrightarrow B_2 \cdot x = 0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 4 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$.
 $\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} 2x_1 + x_2 = 0 \\ 4x_1 + 2x_2 = 0 \end{cases}$

Hence $N(L_{\mathbb{R}^2}) = \{t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Thus x is an e.vec. corresp. to $\lambda_2 = -1 \Leftrightarrow x = t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ for some $t \neq 0$.

Notice that $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ is a basis for \mathbb{R}^2 consisting of e.vectors of A . Thus L_A , and hence A , is diagonalizable.

Determining eigenvectors and eigenvalues of a lin. operator

Let V be a v.s., $\dim(V) = n$. Let β be an ordered basis for V .

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ be a lin. operator on V .

Summarizing the results of the previous section, we describe how to determine the e.val's and the e.vec's of T .

1) Determine the matrix representation $[T]_{\beta}$ of T .

2) Determine the e.val's of T .

$\lambda \in F$ is an e.val of $T \Leftrightarrow \lambda$ is a root of the char. polynomial of T .

That is, we need to find the solutions $x \in F$ of $\det([T]_{\beta} - x I_n) = 0$.

There are at most n distinct solutions $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$.

3) Now for each e.val. λ of T , we can determine the corresponding e.vec's. We have:

$$T(v) = \lambda v \Leftrightarrow (T - \lambda I_V)(v) = 0 \Leftrightarrow [T - \lambda I_V]_{\beta} [v]_{\beta} = 0.$$

Therefore, eigenvectors corresponding to λ are the solutions of this system of linear equations. (more precisely, solving this system we find the β -coordinates $[v]_{\beta}$, which then determines v).