

Recognizing groups and fields in Erdős geometry and model theory

Artem Chernikov

UCLA

SocalDM 2021, UC Irvine (via Zoom)

Apr 10, 2021

Hypergraphs and Zarankiewicz's problem

- ▶ We fix $r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ and let $H = (V_1, \dots, V_r; E)$ be an r -partite and r -uniform hypergraph (or just r -hypergraph) with vertex sets V_1, \dots, V_r with $|V_i| = n_i$, (hyper-) edge set $E \subseteq \prod_{i \in [r]} V_i$, and $n = \sum_{i=1}^r n_i$ is the total number of vertices.
- ▶ When $r = 2$, we say “bipartite graph” instead of “2-hypergraph”.
- ▶ For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $K_{k, \dots, k}$ denote the complete r -hypergraph with each part of size k (i.e. $V_i = [k]$ and $E = \prod_{i \in [k]} V_i$).
- ▶ H is $K_{k, \dots, k}$ -free if it does not contain an isomorphic copy of $K_{k, \dots, k}$.
- ▶ Zarankiewicz's problem: for fixed r, k , what is the maximal number of edges $|E|$ in a $K_{k, \dots, k}$ -free r -hypergraph H ? (As a functions of n_1, \dots, n_r .)

Number of edges in a $K_{k,\dots,k}$ -free hypergraph

- ▶ The following fact is due to [Kővári, Sós, Turán'54] for $r = 2$ and [Erdős'64] for general r .

Fact (The Basic Bound)

If H is a $K_{k,\dots,k}$ -free r -hypergraph then $|E| = O_{r,k} \left(n^{r - \frac{1}{k^{r-1}}} \right)$.

- ▶ So the exponent is slightly better than the maximal possible r (we have n^r edges in $K_{n,\dots,n}$). A probabilistic construction in [Erdős'64] shows that this bound cannot be substantially improved (but whether it is sharp up to a constant is widely open).
- ▶ Restricting to hypergraphs that are defined “geometrically”, one might expect stronger bounds on the exponent.

Semialgebraic hypergraphs

- ▶ A set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is *semialgebraic* if X is a finite union of sets of the form

$$\left\{ \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : f_1(\bar{x}) \geq 0, \dots, f_p(\bar{x}) \geq 0, f_{p+1}(\bar{x}) > 0, \dots, f_q(\bar{x}) > 0 \right\},$$

where $p \leq q \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $f_i \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{x}]$ is a polynomial in d variables.

- ▶ X has (*description*) *complexity* t if $d \leq t$, it is a union of at most t such sets, $q \leq t$ and $\deg(f_i) \leq t$ for all i .
- ▶ A finite r -hypergraph $H = (V_1, \dots, V_r; E)$ is *semialgebraic*, of *complexity* t if $V_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d_i}$ for some d_i and $E = \left(\prod_{i \in [r]} V_i \right) \cap X$ for some semialgebraic set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d_1 + \dots + d_r}$ of complexity t (up to isomorphism).
- ▶ A lot of (hyper-)graphs arising in incidence combinatorics of elementary geometric shapes are semialgebraic, of small complexity.

Example: point-line incidences on the plane

- ▶ Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ be the incidence relation between points and lines on the plane, i.e.

$$I(x_1, x_2; y_1, y_2) \iff x_2 = y_1 x_1 + y_2.$$

- ▶ Then I is semialgebraic (of complexity 2) and $K_{2,2}$ -free (for any two points belong to at most one line, and vice versa).
- ▶ Let V_1 be a set of n_1 points and V_2 a set of n_2 lines on the plane \mathbb{R}^2 , and $E := I \upharpoonright_{V_1 \times V_2}$. Then the bipartite graph $(V_1, V_2; E)$ satisfies the basic bound of Kővári, Sós, Turán:

$$|E| = O\left(n^{\frac{3}{2}}\right).$$

- ▶ While this is optimal for general graphs, utilizing the geometry of the reals:

Fact (Szémeredi-Trotter '83)

In fact, $|E| = O\left(n^{\frac{4}{3}}\right)$.

- ▶ Note that $\frac{4}{3} < \frac{3}{2}$.

Zarankiewicz for semialgebraic (hyper-)graphs

- ▶ Szémeredi-Trotter theorem has numerous generalizations for semialgebraic graphs, e.g. [Pach, Sharir'98], [Elekes, Szabó'12], and more generally

Fact (Fox, Pach, Sheffer, Suk, Zahl'17)

If $(V_1, V_2; E)$, with $V_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d_i}$, is a semialgebraic bipartite graph of complexity t and $K_{k,k}$ -free, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$|E| = O_{t,d_1,d_2,k,\varepsilon} \left(n_1^{\frac{d_2(d_1-1)}{d_1 d_2 - 1} + \varepsilon} n_2^{\frac{d_1(d_2-1)}{d_1 d_2 - 1}} + n_1 + n_2 \right).$$

- ▶ Generalizations to semialgebraic hypergraphs [Do'18].
- ▶ Moral: for semialgebraic, the bound is of the form $O(n^{e-\varepsilon})$, where e is given by the basic bound for arbitrary graphs.

Connections to the “trichotomy principle” in model theory

- ▶ The *trichotomy principle* in model theory: in a sufficiently tame context (including semialgebraic), every structure is either “trivial”, or essentially a vector space, or interprets a field (see below).
- ▶ In this talk: the exponents in Zarankiewicz bounds for semialgebraic (hyper-)graphs appear to reflect the trichotomy principle, and detect presence of algebraic structures (groups, fields).
- ▶ Instances of this principle are also known in combinatorics — extremal configuration for various counting problems tend to come from algebraic structures.

Elekes-Szabó theorem, 1

- ▶ [Erdős, Szemerédi'83] There exists some $c \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that: for every finite $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$,

$$\max \{|A + A|, |A \cdot A|\} = \Omega(|A|^{1+c}).$$

- ▶ [Solymosi], [Konyagin, Shkredov] Holds with $\frac{4}{3} + \varepsilon$ for some sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. (Conjecturally: with $2 - \varepsilon$ for any ε).
- ▶ [Elekes, Rónyai'00] Let $f \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$ be a polynomial of degree d , then for all $A, B \subseteq_n \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f(A \times B)| = \Omega_d \left(n^{\frac{4}{3}} \right),$$

unless f is either of the form $g(h(x) + i(y))$ or $g(h(x) \cdot i(y))$ for some univariate polynomials g, h, i .

Elekes-Szabó theorem, 2

- [Elekes-Szabó'12] provide a conceptual generalization: for any algebraic surface $Q(x_1, x_2, x_3) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ so that the projection onto any two coordinates is finite-to-one, exactly one of the following holds:

1. there exists $\gamma > 0$ s.t. for any finite $A_i \subseteq_n \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$|Q \cap (A_1 \times A_2 \times A_3)| = O(n^{2-\gamma}).$$

2. There exist open sets $U_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ containing 0, and analytic bijections with analytic inverses $\pi_i : U_i \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\pi_1(x_1) + \pi_2(x_2) + \pi_3(x_3) = 0 \Leftrightarrow Q(x_1, x_2, x_3)$$

for all $x_i \in U_i$.

Generalizations of the Elekes-Szabó theorem

Let $Q \subseteq X_1 \times \dots \times X_r$ be an algebraic surface with finite-to-one projection onto any $r - 1$ coordinates and $\dim(X_i) = k$.

1. [Elekes, Szabó'12] $r = 3$, k arbitrary over \mathbb{C} (only count on grids in *general position*, correspondence with a complex algebraic group of dimension k);
2. [Raz, Sharir, de Zeeuw'18] $r = 4$, $k = 1$ over \mathbb{C} ;
3. [Raz, Shem-Tov'18] $k = 1$, Q of the form $f(x_1, \dots, x_{r-1}) = x_r$ for any r over \mathbb{C} .
4. [Bays, Breuillard'18] r and k arbitrary over \mathbb{C} , recognized that the arising groups are abelian (however no bounds on γ);
5. Related work: [Raz, Sharir, de Zeeuw'15], [Wang'15]; [Bukh, Tsimmerman' 12], [Tao'12]; [Hrushovski'13]; [Jing, Roy, Tran'19].
6. [C., Peterzil, Starchenko] Any s and k , over \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} (and much more) and explicit bounds on γ . A special case:

Theorem (C., Peterzil, Starchenko)

Assume $r \geq 3$ and $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^r$ is semi-algebraic, of description complexity D , such that the projection of Q to any $r - 1$ coordinates is finite-to-one. Then exactly one of the following holds.

1. There exists a constant c , depending only on r and D , such that: for any finite $A_i \subseteq_n \mathbb{R}$, $i \in [r]$, we have

$$|Q \cap (A_1 \times \dots \times A_r)| = O_{r,d}(n^{r-1-\gamma}),$$

where $\gamma = \frac{1}{3}$ if $r \geq 4$, and $\gamma = \frac{1}{6}$ if $r = 3$.

2. There exist open sets $U_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $i \in [r]$, an open set $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ containing 0, and analytic bijections with analytic inverses $\pi_i : U_i \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\pi_1(x_1) + \dots + \pi_r(x_r) = 0 \Leftrightarrow Q(x_1, \dots, x_r)$$

for all $x_i \in U_i$, $i \in [r]$.

Remarks

1. In general, for semialgebraic $Q \subseteq X_1 \times \dots \times X_r$ with $\dim(X_i) = k$, holds with V a neighborhood of 0 in an abelian Lie group of dimension k .
2. In fact, our theorem is for Q definable in an arbitrary \mathcal{o} -minimal expansion of \mathbb{R} — so Q can be defined not only using polynomial (in-)equalities, but also using e^x and restricted analytic functions.
3. One ingredient — improved Zarankiewicz bounds also hold in this context ([Basu, Raz], [C., Galvin, Starchenko]).
4. Another — a higher arity generalization of the Abelian Group Configuration theorem of Zilber and Hrushovski on recognizing groups from a “generic chunk”. We discuss a simple purely combinatorial case:

Recognizing groups, 1

1. Assume that $(G, +, 0)$ is an abelian group, and consider the r -ary relation $Q \subseteq \prod_{i \in [r]} G$ given by $x_1 + \dots + x_r = 0$.
2. Then Q is easily seen to satisfy the following two properties, for any permutation of the variables of Q :

$$\forall x_1, \dots, \forall x_{r-1} \exists! x_r Q(x_1, \dots, x_r), \quad (\text{P1})$$

$$\forall x_1, x_2 \forall y_3, \dots, y_r \forall y'_3, \dots, y'_r \left(Q(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \wedge Q(\bar{x}, \bar{y}') \rightarrow \right. \\ \left. (\forall x'_1, x'_2 Q(\bar{x}', \bar{y}) \leftrightarrow Q(\bar{x}', \bar{y}')) \right). \quad (\text{P2})$$

We show a converse, assuming $r \geq 4$:

Recognizing groups, 2

Theorem (C., Peterzil, Starchenko)

Assume $r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 4}$, X_1, \dots, X_r and $Q \subseteq \prod_{i \in [r]} X_i$ are sets, so that Q satisfies (P1) and (P2) for any permutation of the variables. Then there exists an abelian group $(G, +, 0_G)$ and bijections $\pi_i : X_i \rightarrow G$ such that for every $(a_1, \dots, a_r) \in \prod_{i \in [r]} X_i$ we have

$$Q(a_1, \dots, a_r) \iff \pi_1(a_1) + \dots + \pi_r(a_r) = 0_G.$$

- ▶ If $X_1 = \dots = X_r$, property (P1) is equivalent to saying that the relation Q is an $(r - 1)$ -dimensional permutation on the set X_1 , or a *Latin $(r - 1)$ -hypercube*, as studied by Linal and Luria. Thus the condition (P2) characterizes, for $r \geq 3$, those Latin r -hypercubes that are given by the relation “ $x_1 + \dots + x_{r-1} = x_r$ ” in an abelian group.

Recognizing fields

- ▶ For the semialgebraic $K_{2,2}$ -free point-line incidence relation $Q = \{(x_1, x_2; y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : x_2 = y_1 x_1 + y_2\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ we have the (optimal) lower bound $|Q \cap (V_1 \times V_2)| = \Omega(n^{\frac{4}{3}})$.
- ▶ To define it we use both addition and multiplication, i.e. the field structure.
- ▶ This is not a coincidence — any non-trivial lower bound on the Zarankiewicz's exponent of Q allows to recover a field from it:

Theorem (Basit, C., Starchenko, Tao, Tran)

Assume that $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d = \prod_{i \in [r]} \mathbb{R}^{d_i}$ for some $r, d_i \in \mathbb{N}$ is semialgebraic and $K_{k, \dots, k}$ -free, but $|Q \cap \prod_{i \in [r]} V_i| \neq O(n^{r-1})$. Then a real closed field is definable in the first-order structure $(\mathbb{R}, <, Q)$.

Ingredients

- ▶ An almost optimal Zarankiewicz bound for *semilinear* hypergraphs.
- ▶ The trichotomy theorem for \mathcal{o} -minimal structures from model theory [Peterzil, Starchenko].

Semilinear relations of bounded complexity

- ▶ A set $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is *semilinear*, of complexity t , if X is a union of at most t sets of the form

$$\left\{ \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : f_1(\bar{x}) \leq 0, \dots, f_p(\bar{x}) \leq 0, f_{p+1}(\bar{x}) < 0, \dots, f_q(\bar{x}) < 0 \right\},$$

where $p \leq q \leq t \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $f_i : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a *linear* function

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_d) = \lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_d x_d + a$$

for some $\lambda_i, a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Zarankiewicz bound for relations of bounded box complexity

Theorem (BCSTT)

For any integers $r \geq 2, s \geq 0, k \geq 2$ there are $\alpha = \alpha(r, s, k) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta = \beta(r, s) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that: for any finite $K_{k, \dots, k}$ -free semilinear r -hypergraph $H = (V_1, \dots, V_r; E)$ with $E \subseteq \prod_{i \in [r]} V_i$ of complexity $\leq s$ we have

$$|E| \leq \alpha n^{r-1} (\log n)^\beta.$$

Moreover, we can take $\beta(r, s) := s(2^{r-1} - 1)$.

- ▶ In particular, $|E| = O_{r,s,k,\varepsilon}(n^{r-1+\varepsilon})$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

An application to incidences with polytopes

- ▶ Applying with $r = 2$ we get the following:

Corollary (BCSTT)

For every $s, k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists some $\alpha = \alpha(s, k) \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following.

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $H_1, \dots, H_q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be finitely many (closed or open) half-spaces in \mathbb{R}^d . Let \mathcal{F} be the (infinite) family of all polytopes in \mathbb{R}^d cut out by arbitrary translates of H_1, \dots, H_q .

For any set V_1 of n_1 points in \mathbb{R}^d and any set V_2 of n_2 polytopes in \mathcal{F} , if the incidence graph on $V_1 \times V_2$ is $K_{k,k}$ -free, then it contains at most $\alpha n (\log n)^q$ incidences.

- ▶ In particular (a similar result was obtained independently by [Tomon, Zakharov]):

Corollary (BCSTT)

For any set V_1 of n_1 points and any set V_2 of n_2 (solid) boxes with axis parallel sides in \mathbb{R}^d , if the incidence graph on $V_1 \times V_2$ is $K_{k,k}$ -free, then it contains at most $O_{d,k}(n(\log n)^{2d})$ incidences.

Dyadic rectangles and a lower bound

- ▶ Is the logarithmic factor necessary?
- ▶ We focus on the simplest case of incidences with rectangles with axis-parallel sides in \mathbb{R}^2 . The previous corollary gives the bound $O_{d,k}(n(\log n)^4)$.
- ▶ A box is *dyadic* if it is the direct products of intervals of the form $[s2^t, (s+1)2^t)$ for some integers s, t .
- ▶ Using a different argument, restricting to dyadic boxes we get a stronger upper bound $O\left(n \frac{\log n_1}{\log \log n_1}\right)$, and give a construction showing a matching lower bound (up to a constant).

Problem

What is the optimal bound on the power of $\log n$? In particular, does it have to grow with the dimension d ?

Geometric weakly locally modular theories

- ▶ In our bounds, we can get rid of the logarithmic factor entirely restricting to the family of all finite r -hypergraphs induced by a given $K_{k,\dots,k}$ -free relation (as opposed to all $K_{k,\dots,k}$ -free r -hypergraphs induced by a given relation).
- ▶ A first-order structure is *geometric* if the algebraic closure operator satisfies the *Exchange Principle* and the quantifier \exists^∞ is eliminated.
- ▶ Hence, in a model of a geometric theory, acl defines a well-behaved notion of independence \perp (equivalently, a matroid).
- ▶ A geometric structure is (*weakly*) *locally modular* if for any small subsets A, B there exists some small subset $C \perp_\emptyset AB$ such that $A \perp_{\text{acl}(AC) \cap \text{acl}(BC)} B$.
- ▶ Moral: the algebraic closure operator behaves like the linear span in a vector space, as opposed to the algebraic closure in an algebraically closed field.

Recovering a field in the o-minimal case

Fact (Peterzil, Starchenko'98)

Let \mathcal{M} be an o-minimal saturated structure. TFAE:

- ▶ \mathcal{M} is not locally modular;
- ▶ there exists a real closed field definable in \mathcal{M} .
- ▶ [Marker, Peterzil, Pillay'92] Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a semialgebraic but not semilinear set. Then $\cdot \upharpoonright_{[0,1]^2}$ is definable in $(\mathbb{R}, <, +, X)$. In particular, it is not locally modular.
- ▶ Combining all of this, we get the result.

Thank you!

- ▶ *Model-theoretic Elekes-Szabó for stable and o-minimal hypergraphs*, Artem Chernikov, Ya'acov Peterzil, Sergei Starchenko (arXiv:2104.02235)
- ▶ *Zarankiewicz's problem for semilinear hypergraphs*, Artem Chernikov, Abdul Basit, Sergei Starchenko, Terence Tao and Chieu-Minh Tran (arXiv:2009.02922)