

# Recognizing groups and fields in Erdős geometry and model theory

Artem Chernikov

UCLA

Wilhelm Killing Kolloquium, Münster (via Zoom)

Jun 17, 2021

## Point-line incidences

1. Given  $n$  points and  $n$  lines in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , how many incidences can there be?
2. Obvious upper bound  $n^2$ .
3. [Elekes'02] construction for a lower bound  $\Omega\left(n^{\frac{4}{3}}\right)$ :

## Hypergraphs and Zarankiewicz's problem

- ▶ We fix  $r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$  and let  $H = (V_1, \dots, V_r; E)$  be an  $r$ -partite hypergraph of size  $n$  (or just  $r$ -hypergraph) with vertex sets  $V_1, \dots, V_r$  with  $|V_i| = n$  and (hyper-)edge set  $E \subseteq \prod_{i \in [r]} V_i$ .
- ▶ When  $r = 2$ , we say “bipartite graph” instead of “2-hypergraph”.
- ▶ For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $K_{k, \dots, k}$  denote the complete  $r$ -hypergraph with each part of size  $k$  (i.e.  $V_i = [k]$  and  $E = \prod_{i \in [r]} V_i$ ).
- ▶  $H$  is  $K_{k, \dots, k}$ -free if it does not contain an isomorphic copy of  $K_{k, \dots, k}$ .
- ▶ Zarankiewicz's problem: for fixed  $r, k$ , what is the maximal number of edges  $|E|$  in a  $K_{k, \dots, k}$ -free  $r$ -hypergraph  $H$ ? (As a function of  $n$ .)

## Number of edges in a $K_{k,\dots,k}$ -free hypergraph

- ▶ The following fact is due to [Kővári, Sós, Turán'54] for  $r = 2$  and [Erdős'64] for general  $r$ .

### Fact (The Basic Bound)

*If  $H$  is a  $K_{k,\dots,k}$ -free  $r$ -hypergraph then  $|E| = O_{r,k} \left( n^{r - \frac{1}{k^{r-1}}} \right)$ .*

- ▶ So the exponent is slightly better than the maximal possible  $r$  (we have  $n^r$  edges in  $K_{n,\dots,n}$ ). A probabilistic construction in [Erdős'64] shows that this bound cannot be substantially improved (but whether it is sharp up to a constant is widely open).
- ▶ Restricting to hypergraphs that are defined “geometrically”, one might expect stronger bounds on the exponent.

## Semialgebraic hypergraphs

- ▶ A set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  is *semialgebraic* if  $X$  is a finite union of sets of the form

$$\left\{ \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : f_1(\bar{x}) \geq 0, \dots, f_p(\bar{x}) \geq 0, f_{p+1}(\bar{x}) > 0, \dots, f_q(\bar{x}) > 0 \right\},$$

where  $p \leq q \in \mathbb{N}$  and each  $f_i \in \mathbb{R}[\bar{x}]$  is a polynomial in  $d$  variables.

- ▶  $X$  has (*description*) *complexity*  $t$  if  $d \leq t$ , it is a union of at most  $t$  such sets,  $q \leq t$  and  $\deg(f_i) \leq t$  for all  $i$ .
- ▶ A finite  $r$ -hypergraph  $H = (V_1, \dots, V_r; E)$  is *semialgebraic*, of *complexity*  $t$  if  $V_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d_i}$  for some  $d_i$  and  $E = \left( \prod_{i \in [r]} V_i \right) \cap X$  for some semialgebraic set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d_1 + \dots + d_r}$  of complexity  $t$  (up to isomorphism).
- ▶ A lot of (hyper-)graphs arising in incidence combinatorics of elementary geometric shapes are semialgebraic, of small complexity.

## Example: point-line incidences on the plane

- ▶ Let  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$  be the incidence relation between points and lines on the plane, i.e.

$$I(x_1, x_2; y_1, y_2) \iff x_2 = y_1 x_1 + y_2.$$

- ▶ Then  $I$  is semialgebraic (of complexity 2) and  $K_{2,2}$ -free (for any two points belong to at most one line).
- ▶ Let  $V_1$  be a set of  $n$  points and  $V_2$  a set of  $n$  lines on the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and  $E := I \upharpoonright_{V_1 \times V_2}$ . Then the bipartite graph  $(V_1, V_2; E)$  satisfies the basic bound of Kővári, Sós, Turán:

$$|E| = O\left(n^{\frac{3}{2}}\right).$$

- ▶ While this is optimal for general graphs, utilizing the geometry of the reals:

### Fact (Szémeredi-Trotter '83)

*In fact,  $|E| = O\left(n^{\frac{4}{3}}\right)$  — matching the lower bound up to a constant.*

- ▶ Note that  $\frac{4}{3} < \frac{3}{2}$ .

## Zarankiewicz for semialgebraic (hyper-)graphs

- ▶ Szémeredi-Trotter theorem has numerous generalizations for semialgebraic graphs, e.g. [Pach, Sharir'98], [Elekes, Szabó'12], and more generally

Fact (Fox, Pach, Sheffer, Suk, Zahl'17)

*If  $(V_1, V_2; E)$ , with  $V_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d_i}$ , is a semialgebraic bipartite graph of complexity  $t$  and  $K_{k,k}$ -free, then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,*

$$|E| = O_{t,d_1,d_2,k,\varepsilon} \left( n^{\frac{2d_1d_2-d_1-d_2}{d_1d_2-1} + \varepsilon} \right).$$

- ▶ **Moral:** for semialgebraic graphs, the bound is of the form  $O(n^{e-\varepsilon})$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , where  $e$  is given by the basic bound for arbitrary graphs.
- ▶ Generalizations to semialgebraic hypergraphs [Do'18].

## Connections to the “trichotomy principle” in model theory

- ▶ The *trichotomy principle* in model theory: in a sufficiently tame context (including semialgebraic), every structure is either “trivial”, or essentially a vector space, or interprets a field (see below).
- ▶ In this talk: the exponents in Zarankiewicz bounds for semialgebraic (hyper-)graphs reflect the trichotomy principle, and detect presence of algebraic structures (groups, fields).
- ▶ Instances of this principle are also known in combinatorics — extremal configuration for various counting problems tend to come from algebraic structures. So here we discuss two “inverse” theorems which show this is the only way!



## Elekes-Szabó theorem, 1

- ▶ [Erdős, Szemerédi'83] There exists some  $c \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that: for every finite  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\max \{|A + A|, |A \cdot A|\} = \Omega(|A|^{1+c}).$$

- ▶ [Solymosi], [Konyagin, Shkredov] Holds with  $\frac{4}{3} + \varepsilon$  for some sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ . (Conjecturally: with  $2 - \varepsilon$  for any  $\varepsilon$ ).
- ▶ [Elekes, Rónyai'00] Let  $f \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$  be a polynomial of degree  $d$ , then for all  $A, B \subseteq_n \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$|f(A \times B)| = \Omega_d \left( n^{\frac{4}{3}} \right),$$

unless  $f$  is either of the form  $g(h(x) + i(y))$  or  $g(h(x) \cdot i(y))$  for some univariate polynomials  $g, h, i$ .

## Elekes-Szabó theorem, 2

- [Elekes-Szabó'12] provide a conceptual generalization: for any algebraic surface  $Q(x_1, x_2, x_3) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$  so that the projection onto any two coordinates is finite-to-one, exactly one of the following holds:

1. there exists  $\gamma > 0$  s.t. for any finite  $A_i \subseteq_n \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$|Q \cap (A_1 \times A_2 \times A_3)| = O(n^{2-\gamma}).$$

2. There exist open sets  $U_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  containing 0, and analytic bijections with analytic inverses  $\pi_i : U_i \rightarrow V$  such that

$$\pi_1(x_1) + \pi_2(x_2) + \pi_3(x_3) = 0 \Leftrightarrow Q(x_1, x_2, x_3)$$

for all  $x_i \in U_i$ .

## Generalizations of the Elekes-Szabó theorem

Let  $Q \subseteq X_1 \times \dots \times X_r$  be an algebraic surface with finite-to-one projection onto any  $r - 1$  coordinates and  $\dim(X_i) = m$ .

1. [Elekes, Szabó'12]  $r = 3$ ,  $m$  arbitrary over  $\mathbb{C}$  (only count on grids in *general position*, correspondence with a complex algebraic group of dimension  $m$ );
2. [Raz, Sharir, de Zeeuw'18]  $r = 4$ ,  $m = 1$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ ;
3. [Raz, Shem-Tov'18]  $m = 1$ ,  $Q$  of the form  $f(x_1, \dots, x_{r-1}) = x_r$  for  $f$  a polynomial and any  $r$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ .
4. [Bays, Breuillard'18]  $r$  and  $m$  arbitrary over  $\mathbb{C}$ , recognized that the arising groups are abelian (however no bounds on  $\gamma$ );
5. Related work: [Raz, Sharir, de Zeeuw'15], [Wang'15]; [Bukh, Tsimmerman' 12], [Tao'12]; [Hrushovski'13]; [Jing, Roy, Tran'19].
6. [C., Peterzil, Starchenko' 21] Any  $r$  and  $m$ ,  $Q$  semialgebraic, explicit bounds on  $\gamma$ . A special case:

## Theorem (C., Peterzil, Starchenko)

Assume  $r \geq 3$  and  $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^r$  is semi-algebraic, of description complexity  $d$ , such that the projection of  $Q$  to any  $r - 1$  coordinates is finite-to-one. Then exactly one of the following holds.

1. For any finite  $A_i \subseteq_n \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i \in [r]$ , we have

$$|Q \cap (A_1 \times \dots \times A_r)| = O_{r,d}(n^{r-1-\gamma}),$$

where  $\gamma = \frac{1}{3}$  if  $r \geq 4$ , and  $\gamma = \frac{1}{6}$  if  $r = 3$ .

2. There exist open sets  $U_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i \in [r]$ , an open set  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  containing 0, and analytic bijections with analytic inverses  $\pi_i : U_i \rightarrow V$  such that

$$\pi_1(x_1) + \dots + \pi_r(x_r) = 0 \Leftrightarrow Q(x_1, \dots, x_r)$$

for all  $x_i \in U_i$ ,  $i \in [r]$ .

## General o-minimal case

### Theorem (C., Peterzil, Starchenko)

Assume  $r \geq 3$ ,  $Q \subseteq X_1 \times \cdots \times X_r$  are **definable in an o-minimal expansion of  $\mathbb{R}$**  with  $\dim(X_i) = m$ , and the projection of  $Q$  to any  $r - 1$  coordinates is finite-to-one. Then **exactly** one of the following holds.

1. For any finite  $A_i \subseteq_n X_i$  **in general position**,  $i \in [r]$ , we have

$$|Q \cap (A_1 \times \cdots \times A_r)| = O_Q(n^{r-1-\gamma}),$$

for  $\gamma = \frac{1}{8m-5}$  if  $r \geq 4$ , and  $\gamma = \frac{1}{16m-10}$  if  $r = 3$ .

2. There exist definable relatively open sets  $U_i \subseteq X_i$ , **an abelian Lie group  $(G, +)$  of dimension  $m$**  and an open neighborhood  $V \subseteq G$  of 0, and **definable homeomorphisms  $\pi_i : U_i \rightarrow V$** , such that for all  $x_i \in U_i, i \in [r]$

$$\pi_1(x_1) + \cdots + \pi_r(x_r) = 0 \Leftrightarrow Q(x_1, \dots, x_r).$$

## Remarks

1. So  $Q$  can be defined not only using polynomial (in-)equalities, but also e.g. using  $e^x$  and restricted analytic functions.
2. One ingredient — improved Zarankiewicz bounds also hold in  $o$ -minimal structures ([Basu, Raz], [C., Galvin, Starchenko]). The power saving  $\gamma$  in the non-group case corresponds to the non-trivial improvement on the basic bound.
3. Another — a higher arity generalization of the Abelian Group Configuration theorem of Zilber and Hrushovski on recognizing groups from a “generic chunk”. We discuss a simple purely combinatorial case:

## Recognizing groups, 1

1. Assume that  $(G, +, 0)$  is an abelian group, and consider the  $r$ -ary relation  $Q \subseteq \prod_{i \in [r]} G$  given by  $x_1 + \dots + x_r = 0$ .
2. Then  $Q$  is easily seen to satisfy the following two properties, for any permutation of the variables of  $Q$ :

$$\forall x_1, \dots, \forall x_{r-1} \exists! x_r Q(x_1, \dots, x_r), \quad (\text{P1})$$

$$\forall x_1, x_2 \forall y_3, \dots, y_r \forall y'_3, \dots, y'_r \left( Q(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \wedge Q(\bar{x}, \bar{y}') \rightarrow \right. \\ \left. (\forall x'_1, x'_2 Q(\bar{x}', \bar{y}) \leftrightarrow Q(\bar{x}', \bar{y}')) \right). \quad (\text{P2})$$

We show a converse, assuming  $r \geq 4$ :

## Recognizing groups, 2

### Theorem (C., Peterzil, Starchenko)

Assume  $r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 4}$ ,  $X_1, \dots, X_r$  and  $Q \subseteq \prod_{i \in [r]} X_i$  are sets, so that  $Q$  satisfies (P1) and (P2) for any permutation of the variables. Then there exists an abelian group  $(G, +, 0_G)$  and bijections  $\pi_i : X_i \rightarrow G$  such that for every  $(a_1, \dots, a_r) \in \prod_{i \in [r]} X_i$  we have

$$Q(a_1, \dots, a_r) \iff \pi_1(a_1) + \dots + \pi_r(a_r) = 0_G.$$

- ▶ If  $X_1 = \dots = X_r$ , property (P1) is equivalent to saying that the relation  $Q$  is an  $(r - 1)$ -dimensional permutation on the set  $X_1$ , or a *Latin  $(r - 1)$ -hypercube*, as studied by Linal and Luria. Thus the condition (P2) characterizes, for  $r \geq 3$ , those Latin  $r$ -hypercubes that are given by the relation “ $x_1 + \dots + x_{r-1} = x_r$ ” in an abelian group.



## Recognizing fields

- ▶ For the semialgebraic  $K_{2,2}$ -free point-line incidence relation  $Q = \{(x_1, x_2; y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : x_2 = y_1 x_1 + y_2\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$  we have the (optimal) lower bound  $|Q \cap (V_1 \times V_2)| = \Omega(n^{\frac{4}{3}})$ .
- ▶ To define it we use both addition and multiplication, i.e. the field structure.
- ▶ This is not a coincidence — any non-trivial lower bound on the Zarankiewicz's exponent of  $Q$  allows to recover a field from it:

### Theorem (Basit, C., Starchenko, Tao, Tran)

Assume that  $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d = \prod_{i \in [r]} \mathbb{R}^{d_i}$  for some  $r, d_i \in \mathbb{N}$  is definable in an o-minimal structure and  $K_{k, \dots, k}$ -free, but  $|Q \cap \prod_{i \in [r]} V_i| \neq O(n^{r-1})$ . Then a real closed field is definable in the first-order structure  $(\mathbb{R}, <, Q)$ .

# Ingredients

- ▶ An almost optimal Zarankiewicz bound for hypergraphs definable in *locally modular*  $\mathcal{o}$ -minimal expansions of groups, so e.g. for *semilinear* (i.e. defined using *linear* (in-)equalities) hypergraphs.
- ▶ The trichotomy theorem for  $\mathcal{o}$ -minimal structures from model theory [Peterzil, Starchenko].

## A matroid associated to an $\mathcal{o}$ -minimal structure

- ▶ Given a structure  $M$ ,  $A \subseteq M$  and a finite tuple  $a$  in  $M$ ,  $a \in \text{acl}(A)$  if it belongs to some finite  $A$ -definable subset of  $M^{|a|}$  (this generalizes linear span in vector spaces and algebraic closure in fields).
- ▶  $\dim(a/A)$  is the minimal cardinality of a subtuple  $a'$  of  $a$  so that  $\text{acl}(a \cup A) = \text{acl}(a' \cup A)$  (in an algebraically closed field, this is just the transcendence degree of  $a$  over the field generated by  $A$ ).
- ▶ Given a finite tuple  $a$  and sets  $C, B \subseteq M$ , we write  $a \perp_C B$  to denote that  $\dim(a/BC) = \dim(a/C)$ .
- ▶ In an  $\mathcal{o}$ -minimal structure,  $\perp$  is a well-behaved notion of independence defining a *matroid*.

## Local modularity

- ▶ An  $\mathcal{o}$ -minimal structure is (weakly) locally modular if for any small subsets  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{M} \models T$  there exists some small set  $C \downarrow_{\emptyset} AB$  such that  $A \downarrow_{\text{acl}(AC) \cap \text{acl}(BC)} B$ .
- ▶ Intuition: the algebraic closure operator behaves like the linear span in a vector space, as opposed to the algebraic closure in an algebraically closed field.
- ▶ In particular, an  $\mathcal{o}$ -minimal structure is locally modular if and only if any normal interpretable family of plane curves in  $T$  has dimension  $\leq 1$ .

## Zarankiewicz bound for semilinear relations

### Theorem (Basit, C., Starchenko, Tao, Tran)

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be an  $o$ -minimal locally modular expansion of a group and  $Q$  a definable relation of arity  $r \geq 2$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any  $V_i$  with  $|V_i| = n$  such that  $E := Q \cap V_1 \times \dots \times V_r$  is  $K_{k,\dots,k}$ -free, we have

$$|E| = O_{Q,k,\varepsilon}(n^{r-1+\varepsilon}).$$

Moreover, if  $Q$  itself is  $K_{k,\dots,k}$ -free, then for any  $V_i$  with  $|V_i| = n$  we have

$$|E| = O_Q(n^{r-1}).$$

## Recovering a field in the o-minimal case

Fact (Peterzil, Starchenko'98)

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be an o-minimal (saturated) structure. TFAE:

- ▶  $\mathcal{M}$  is not locally modular;
- ▶ there exists a real closed field definable in  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- ▶ [Marker, Peterzil, Pillay'92] Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a semialgebraic but not semilinear set. Then  $\cdot \upharpoonright_{[0,1]^2}$  is definable in  $(\mathbb{R}, <, +, X)$ . In particular, it is not locally modular.
- ▶ Combining this with the optimal bound in the locally modular case, we get the result.

## Extra: corollary for semilinear hypergraphs

### Corollary

For every  $r, s, k \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist some  $\alpha = \alpha(r, s, k) \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\beta(r, s) := s(2^{r-1} - 1)$  satisfying the following. Suppose  $r \geq 2, d = d_1 + \dots + d_r \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{d_r}$  is semilinear, defined by  $\leq s$  linear (in-)equalities. Then for any  $V_i \subseteq_n \mathbb{R}^{d_i}$  so that  $E := Q \cap \prod_{i \in [r]} V_i$  is  $K_{k, \dots, k}$ -free we have

$$|E| \leq \alpha n^{r-1} (\log n)^\beta.$$

- ▶ **Example.** For any set  $V_1$  of  $n$  points and any set  $V_2$  of  $n$  (solid) boxes with axis parallel sides in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , if the incidence graph on  $V_1 \times V_2$  is  $K_{k,k}$ -free, then it contains at most  $O_{d,k}(n(\log n)^{2d})$  incidences.

### Problem

We show that the logarithmic factor is unavoidable. But what is the optimal power of  $\log n$ ? In particular, does it depend on  $d$ ?

Thank you!

- ▶ *Model-theoretic Elekes-Szabó for stable and o-minimal hypergraphs*, Artem Chernikov, Ya'acov Peterzil, Sergei Starchenko (arXiv:2104.02235)
- ▶ *Zarankiewicz's problem for semilinear hypergraphs*, Artem Chernikov, Abdul Basit, Sergei Starchenko, Terence Tao and Chieu-Minh Tran (arXiv:2009.02922)